

FROM KREIN TO S-SPACES: A SHORT STEP

Franciszek Hugon Szafraniec

Uniwersytet Jagielloński, Kraków

August 31, 2012

An ad hoc example

Let a be a **complex** number and a “potential” V with the property $V(ax) = \overline{V(x)}$.

Let a be a **complex** number and a “potential” V with the property $V(ax) = \overline{V(x)}$. In the $\mathcal{L}^2(I)$ introduce the operator $(Uf)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a^{-1/2} f(ax)$.

Let a be a **complex** number and a “potential” V with the property $V(ax) = \overline{V(x)}$. In the $\mathcal{L}^2(I)$ introduce the operator $(Uf)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a^{-1/2} f(ax)$. It is unitary in $\mathcal{L}^2(I)$.

Let a be a **complex** number and a “potential” V with the property $V(ax) = \overline{V(x)}$. In the $\mathcal{L}^2(I)$ introduce the operator $(Uf)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a^{-1/2} f(ax)$. It is unitary in $\mathcal{L}^2(I)$. Consider a (very indefinite) inner product in $\mathcal{L}^2(I)$, namely

$$[f, g] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_I (Uf)(x) \overline{g(x)} \, dx$$

Let a be a **complex** number and a “potential” V with the property $V(ax) = \overline{V(x)}$. In the $\mathcal{L}^2(I)$ introduce the operator $(Uf)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a^{-1/2} f(ax)$. It is unitary in $\mathcal{L}^2(I)$. Consider a (very indefinite) inner product in $\mathcal{L}^2(I)$, namely

$$[f, g] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_I (Uf)(x) \overline{g(x)} \, dx$$

. Multiplication by V is selfadjoint with respect to the new inner product $[\cdot, -]$, whatever it means.

Basic definition

\mathcal{E} be a complex linear space,

\mathcal{E} be a complex linear space,

$\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \ni (f, g) \mapsto [f, g] \in \mathbb{C}$ a Hermitian bilinear form.

\mathcal{E} be a complex linear space,

$\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \ni (f, g) \mapsto [f, g] \in \mathbb{C}$ a Hermitian bilinear form.

Call \mathcal{E} just an *inner product space*.

\mathcal{E} be a complex linear space,

$\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \ni (f, g) \mapsto [f, g] \in \mathbb{C}$ a Hermitian bilinear form.

Call \mathcal{E} just an *inner product space*.

An inner product space \mathcal{E} is said to be a *S-space* if there is a Hilbert space structure in \mathcal{E} with the positive definite inner product $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \ni (f, g) \mapsto \langle f, g \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ and a unitary operator U in the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ such that

$$[f, g] = \langle Uf, g \rangle, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{E};$$

\mathcal{E} be a complex linear space,
 $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \ni (f, g) \mapsto [f, g] \in \mathbb{C}$ a Hermitian bilinear form.
Call \mathcal{E} just an *inner product space*.

An inner product space \mathcal{E} is said to be a *S-space* if there is a Hilbert space structure in \mathcal{E} with the positive definite inner product $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \ni (f, g) \mapsto \langle f, g \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ and a unitary operator U in the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ such that

$$[f, g] = \langle Uf, g \rangle, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{E};$$

The latter is not uniquely determined though its role is more than auxiliary. We refer to $(\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, U)$ as a *Hilbert space realization* of the S-space in question.

Next-door consequences

Mathematically

└ they are not so immediate

1^o S-inner product is separating.

Mathematically

└ they are not so immediate

1° S–inner product is separating.

2° There is a unique (independent of a particular choice of a Hilbert space realization) **topology** in \mathcal{E} which makes the S–inner product separately continuous.

1° S–inner product is separating.

2° There is a unique (independent of a particular choice of a Hilbert space realization) **topology** in \mathcal{E} which makes the S–inner product separately continuous.

Consequently, closedness, closure, core and continuity (hence boundedness) of an operator are uniquely designated.

The topological dual of an S -space

This makes the difference

The conjugate of an S-space

If $(\mathcal{E}, [\cdot, -])$ is an S-space then so is $(\mathcal{E}, [\cdot -])$ with

$$[f, g]_{\text{con}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{[g, f]}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{E};$$

The conjugate of an S -space

If $(\mathcal{E}, [\cdot, -])$ is an S -space then so is $(\mathcal{E}, [\cdot, -])$ with

$$[f, g]_{\text{con}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{[g, f]}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{E};$$

call the latter the *conjugate* of the former. Moreover, if $(\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, - \rangle, U)$ is a Hilbert space realization of $(\mathcal{E}, [\cdot, -])$ then $(\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, - \rangle, U^*)$ is a Hilbert space realization of $(\mathcal{E}, [\cdot, -]_{\text{con}})$.

The Riesz-like representation

This makes the difference

An S -space and its conjugate bear the same topology and share the same topological dual \mathcal{E}' ,

An S-space and its conjugate bear the same topology and share the same topological dual \mathcal{E}' , however the F. Riesz identification of \mathcal{E}' results in two different mappings. More precisely, the following is easy to prove.

An S-space and its conjugate bear the same topology and share the same topological dual \mathcal{E}' , however the F. Riesz identification of \mathcal{E}' results in two different mappings. More precisely, the following is easy to prove.

Riesz-like

For $\Phi \in \mathcal{E}'$ there is a uniquely determined pair (g_1, g_2) of vectors of \mathcal{E} such that

$$\Phi(f) = [f, g_1] = [f, g_2]_{\text{con}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Dissymmetry operator

Consequently, there is a unique linear bicontinuous operator D on \mathcal{E} such that

$$[f, g] = \overline{[Dg, f]}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Consequently, there is a unique linear bicontinuous operator D on \mathcal{E} such that

$$[f, g] = \overline{[Dg, f]}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{E}.$$

The operator D is a kind of measure of asymmetry of the inner product $[\cdot, -]$, call it the *dissymmetry operator* of the S-space $(\mathcal{E}, [\cdot, -])$.

Consequently, there is a unique linear bicontinuous operator D on \mathcal{E} such that

$$[f, g] = \overline{[Dg, f]}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{E}.$$

The operator D is a kind of measure of asymmetry of the inner product $[\cdot, -]$, call it the *dissymmetry operator* of the S -space $(\mathcal{E}, [\cdot, -])$. For the Krein space it is equal to I .

Consequently, there is a unique linear bicontinuous operator D on \mathcal{E} such that

$$[f, g] = \overline{[Dg, f]}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{E}.$$

The operator D is a kind of measure of asymmetry of the inner product $[\cdot, -]$, call it the *dissymmetry operator* of the S-space $(\mathcal{E}, [\cdot, -])$. For the Krein space it is equal to I .

Important

Suppose $(\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, - \rangle, U)$ is any Hilbert space realization of the S-space \mathcal{E} . Then $D = (U^*)^2$.

Consequently, there is a unique linear bicontinuous operator D on \mathcal{E} such that

$$[f, g] = \overline{[Dg, f]}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{E}.$$

The operator D is a kind of measure of asymmetry of the inner product $[\cdot, -]$, call it the *dissymmetry operator* of the S-space $(\mathcal{E}, [\cdot, -])$. For the Krein space it is equal to I .

Important

Suppose $(\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, - \rangle, U)$ is any Hilbert space realization of the S-space \mathcal{E} . Then $D = (U^*)^2$. Consequently,

Consequently, there is a unique linear bicontinuous operator D on \mathcal{E} such that

$$[f, g] = \overline{[Dg, f]}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{E}.$$

The operator D is a kind of measure of asymmetry of the inner product $[\cdot, -]$, call it the *dissymmetry operator* of the S -space $(\mathcal{E}, [\cdot, -])$. For the Krein space it is equal to I .

Important

Suppose $(\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, - \rangle, U)$ is any Hilbert space realization of the S -space \mathcal{E} . Then $D = (U^*)^2$. Consequently,

1. the dissymmetry operator D is unitary in $(\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, - \rangle, U)$,

Consequently, there is a unique linear bicontinuous operator D on \mathcal{E} such that

$$[f, g] = \overline{[Dg, f]}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{E}.$$

The operator D is a kind of measure of asymmetry of the inner product $[\cdot, -]$, call it the *dissymmetry operator* of the S-space $(\mathcal{E}, [\cdot, -])$. For the Krein space it is equal to I .

Important

Suppose $(\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, - \rangle, U)$ is any Hilbert space realization of the S-space \mathcal{E} . Then $D = (U^*)^2$. Consequently,

1. the dissymmetry operator D is unitary in $(\mathcal{E}, \langle \cdot, - \rangle, U)$,
2. the operator U^2 is independent of a particular choice of a Hilbert space realization.

The S -space adjoints of an operator

Given a densely defined operator A in \mathcal{E} , a densely defined operator A^\natural is said to be a (*right*) *adjoint* of A and another densely defined operator ${}^\natural A$ (*left*) *adjoint* of A if

$$[Af, g] = fA^\natural g, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(A), g \in \mathcal{D}(A^\natural);$$

$$[f, Ag] = [{}^\natural Af, g], \quad f \in \mathcal{D}({}^\natural A), g \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$

Given a densely defined operator A in \mathcal{E} , a densely defined operator A^{\natural} is said to be a (*right*) *adjoint* of A and another densely defined operator ${}^{\natural}A$ (*left*) *adjoint* of A if

$$[Af, g] = fA^{\natural}g, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(A), g \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\natural});$$

$$[f, Ag] = [{}^{\natural}Af, g], \quad f \in \mathcal{D}({}^{\natural}A), g \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$

D in action

$A^{\natural} = {}^{\natural}A$ if and only if $DA^* = A^*D$. In addition to this,
 $D^{\natural} = {}^{\natural}D = D^{-1} = D^*$.

Given a densely defined operator A in \mathcal{E} , a densely defined operator A^{\natural} is said to be a (*right*) *adjoint* of A and another densely defined operator ${}^{\natural}A$ (*left*) *adjoint* of A if

$$[Af, g] = fA^{\natural}g, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(A), g \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\natural});$$

$$[f, Ag] = [{}^{\natural}Af, g], \quad f \in \mathcal{D}({}^{\natural}A), g \in \mathcal{D}(A).$$

D in action

$A^{\natural} = {}^{\natural}A$ if and only if $DA^* = A^*D$. In addition to this,
 $D^{\natural} = {}^{\natural}D = D^{-1} = D^*$.

Consequently, $A^{\natural\natural} = D^{\natural}\bar{A}D$ and ${}^{\natural\natural}A = D\bar{A}D^{\natural}$.

S-symmetric and *S*-selfadjoint

Due to the splitting in the notion of *S*-adjoint we have to start with two possibilities:

Due to the splitting in the notion of *S*-adjoint we have to start with two possibilities: A is *left symmetric* if $A \subset {}^{\natural}A$ and it is *right symmetric* if $A \subset A^{\natural}$.

Due to the splitting in the notion of *S*-adjoint we have to start with two possibilities: A is *left symmetric* if $A \subset {}^{\flat}A$ and it is *right symmetric* if $A \subset A^{\flat}$. Furthermore, A is *left selfadjoint* if $A = {}^{\flat}A$ and it is *right selfadjoint* if $A = A^{\flat}$.

Due to the splitting in the notion of *S*-adjoint we have to start with two possibilities: A is *left symmetric* if $A \subset {}^bA$ and it is *right symmetric* if $A \subset A^b$. Furthermore, A is *left selfadjoint* if $A = {}^bA$ and it is *right selfadjoint* if $A = A^b$. This disadvantage turns out to be temporary because

Lucky coincidence

A is right symmetric if and only if it is left symmetric. A is right selfadjoint if and only if it is left selfadjoint.

Due to the splitting in the notion of *S*-adjoint we have to start with two possibilities: A is *left symmetric* if $A \subset {}^bA$ and it is *right symmetric* if $A \subset A^b$. Furthermore, A is *left selfadjoint* if $A = {}^bA$ and it is *right selfadjoint* if $A = A^b$. This disadvantage turns out to be temporary because

Lucky coincidence

A is right symmetric if and only if it is left symmetric. A is right selfadjoint if and only if it is left selfadjoint.

Furthermore, A is *S*-symmetric if and only if $A \subset UA^*U^*$ and it is *S*-selfadjoint if $A = UA^*U^*$ holds.

My final goal is to develop the theory of S-subnormality (**work still in progress**) keeping in mind its usefulness in studying the quantum harmonic oscillator.

My final goal is to develop the theory of S-subnormality (**work still in progress**) keeping in mind its usefulness in studying the quantum harmonic oscillator. Unfortunately, or maybe fortunately, the notions split as before and are reluctant to merge.

Another example

It stops

└ I mean the lucky coincidence

Another example

Consider the two-sided ℓ^2 space and a two-sided weighted shift, that is

$$S = U^*D$$

where U is the two-sided backward shift and D is the diagonal operator of weights.

Another example

Consider the two-sided ℓ^2 space and a two-sided weighted shift, that is

$$S = U^*D$$

where U is the two-sided backward shift and D is the diagonal operator of weights.

The point

It stops

└ I mean the lucky coincidence

Another example

Consider the two-sided ℓ^2 space and a two-sided weighted shift, that is

$$S = U^*D$$

where U is the two-sided backward shift and D is the diagonal operator of weights.

The point

S is S -normal with U fitting in the definition of the S -space in question.

Application

It stops

└ I mean the lucky coincidence

Another example

Consider the two-sided ℓ^2 space and a two-sided weighted shift, that is

$$S = U^*D$$

where U is the two-sided backward shift and D is the diagonal operator of weights.

The point

S is S -normal with U fitting in the definition of the S -space in question.

Application

q -deformed version of the quantum harmonic oscillator.